1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
7			
8	CITY OF TACOMA,		
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C11-5747 BHS	
10	v.	ORDER	
11	CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.,		
12	Defendant.		
13			
14	This matter comes before the Court on the parties' stipulated motion to extend		
15	certain pretrial dates. Dkt. 26.		
16	The Court may modify a scheduling order for good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P.		
17	16(b)(4). In this case, the parties have shown good cause to modify some of the		
18	deadlines. The Court finds, however, that there is not good cause to extend the		
19	dispositive motion deadline as requested. Considering the length of the briefing schedule		
20	and adequate time to consider any motion, the Court will grant only a short extension of		
21	the deadline. Therefore, the Court grants the stipulation in part and denies it in part and		
21	the deadline. Therefore, the Court grants the s	tipulation in part and denies it in part and	

1	Expert Witness Disclosure/Reports under FRCP 26(a)(2):	8/9/2012
2	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure/Reports:	9/10/2012
3	Discovery Motions:	9/20/2012
4	Discovery completed:	10/17/2012
5	Dispositive motions:	11/1/2012
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated this 26th day of June, 2012.	
8	( 1C	
9	Dept \ Sattle	_
10	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Jud	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		